

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,014	01/03/2001	Jeff Nordstrom	260/056	2763
34055	7590 06/16/2003			
PERKINS C	OIE LLP		EXAM	NER
POST OFFICE SEATTLE, W	E BOX 1208 'A 98111-1208		SULLIVAN,	DANIEL M
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	17
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 ^ Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EXAMINER		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER	
				17	

.

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The supplemental Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. 1.111 filed 9 April 2003 is not fully responsive to the Office Action mailed 31 July 2002. In the Office Action, and again in an interview 7 March 2003, Applicant was informed that all nucleic acid sequences of 10 or more nucleotides set forth in the specification and claims must be accompanied by a sequence identifier number (SEQ ID NO) which refers back to the sequence listing. Specifically, newly added claims 56-61, 63 and 64 contain sequences of 10 nucleotides or more that are not identified by SEQ ID NO. Applicant is given a 1 month period to respond to this communication.

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/754,014	NORDSTROM ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview cummary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Daniel M Sullivan, Examiner</u> .	(3)	
(2) James Zhu, Applicant's representative.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 March 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
Claims discussed:		•
Prior art documents discussed:		. *
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		!
·		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was informed that the amendment filed 7 February 2003 was not fully responsive to the Office Action because it did not fully address the objection to the specification on the grounds that sequence was set forth in the specification without accompanying SEQ ID NO.